

Elder and Dependent Adult Abuse

and

Adult Protective Services

in California

Annual Statistical Report

Calendar Year 1995

Elder and Dependent Adult Abuse and Adult Protective Services in California

Annual Statistical Report

Calendar Year 1995



State of California
Health and Welfare Agency
Department of Social Services
Information Services Bureau
Statistical Series APS1-1996

Distributed Under the Library Distribution Act

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Information Services Bureau wishes to express appreciation to the following staff for their contribution to this product:

From the Information Services Bureau: Lenore Schmidt, Judy Lee, Barbara Wood, Sue Johnston, and Julie Grey.

From the Adult Services Management Branch: June Annis.

And a special thanks to Lucille Toscano, M.S.W., for continuing contributions.

ELDER AND DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE
AND
ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES IN CALIFORNIA

CALENDAR YEAR 1995

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
History of This Publication.....	3
The Adult Protective Services Program.....	3
Elder and Dependent Adult Abuse In Domestic Settings.....	4
Definition of Terms.....	4
Table 1, Adult Protective Services Reports and Abuse Reports.....	8
Table 2, Confirmed Abuse Reports.....	9
Examples of Abuse.....	11
ELDER AND DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE IN CALIFORNIA.....	13
Source of Information.....	15
Background.....	15
Statewide Data, Calendar Year 1995 (Except as noted).....	17
Table 3, Abuse Reports Received.....	19
Table 4, Actions Taken on Abuse Reports.....	20
Table 5, Investigated Reports of Abuse.....	21
Table 6, Confirmed Elder Abuse.....	22
Table 6A, Confirmed Dependent Adult Abuse.....	23
Table 7, Elder Abuse: Perpetrated by Others and Self-Neglect Annual Activity; Calendar Years 1991 through 1995.....	24
Table 7A, Dependent Adult Abuse: Perpetrated by Others and Self-Neglect Annual Activity; Calendar Years 1991 through 1995.....	25
County Data, Calendar Year 1995.....	27
Table 8, Elder Abuse: Reports Received.....	28
Table 8A, Dependent Adult Abuse: Reports Received.....	29
Table 9, Confirmed/Not Confirmed Reports of Abuse.....	31
Table 10, Confirmed Elder Abuse: Perpetrated by Others.....	32
Table 10A, Confirmed Elder Abuse: Self-Inflicted.....	33
Table 11, Confirmed Dependent Adult Abuse: Perpetrated by Others.....	34
Table 11A, Confirmed Dependent Adult Abuse: Self-Inflicted.....	35

ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES IN CALIFORNIA	37
Source of Information.....	39
Background.....	39
Statewide Data; Calendar Years 1991 through 1995 (Except as noted).....	41
Table 12, Active Adult Protective Services Cases.....	43
Table 13, Total Emergency Responses.....	44
Table 14, Total Non-Emergency Responses.....	45
Table 15, Total Case Actions.....	46
Table 16, Total Emergency Response Activity.....	47
Table 17, Adult Protective Services, Calendar Year 1995.....	48
Table 18, Annual Caseload Summaries.....	49
Table 19, Emergency Response Cases.....	50
Table 20, Non-Emergency Response Cases.....	51
Table 21, Activities for All Open Emergency Response/Non-Emergency Response Cases.....	52
Table 22, Optional Services.....	53
County Data; Calendar Year 1995.....	55
Table 23, Emergency Response Cases.....	57
Table 24, Non-Emergency Response Cases.....	58
Table 25, Case Activities and Optional Services.....	59
APPENDIX	61
Elder Abuse/Dependent Adult Abuse Annual Statistical Report; (Form SOC 340) Calendar Year 1995.....	63
County Services Block Grant Programs Annual Statistical Report; (Form SOC 242), Calendar Year 1995.....	65
Formulas for Annual Summaries (SOC 242).....	67

Introduction

History of This Publication

This publication is an annual report in which information is consolidated from two reports previously published by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS): *“Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Abuse in California”* and *“Adult Protective Services in California.”* Data presented in those reports has been updated and is contained in two separate sections of this report. This report also features a section which combines data on elder and dependent adult abuse and on the Adult Protective Services (APS) Program, as reports of suspected abuse comprise the largest proportion of incoming reports to APS programs statewide. The combined data on abuse and APS reports is displayed in tables contained in the pages of this introductory section.

This report does not include information about reports of abuse which may occur in long-term care facilities. Reports of abuse in these facilities are reported to local long-term care ombudsman program staff, who, in turn, report the data to the Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman within the California Department of Aging.

The Adult Protective Services Program

Program Description

The APS program, supervised by the CDSS and locally administered by county welfare departments (CWDs), provides assistance to elderly and dependent adults who are functionally impaired, unable to meet their own needs, and who are victims of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. The APS program is authorized under Title XX of the Social Security Act and under Section 12251 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. The APS Program meets Federal Goal Number 3 under the Social Security Act, which refers to preventing and remedying neglect, abuse, or exploitation of adults who are unable to protect their own interests.

Program Activities

County APS program agencies receive reports of abuse of elderly and dependent adults in domestic settings for investigation and evaluation and provide or coordinate support services such as counseling, money management, conservatorship, and advocacy. In addition, APS staff provide information and education to other agencies and the public about reporting requirements and other responsibilities under the elder and dependent adult abuse reporting laws.

Data on Adult Protective Services Reports

A total of 57,628 reports were made to county APS agencies in 1995. This figure represents a 9.3 percent decrease from 1994 (63,548 reports) and a 10.3 percent overall decrease from 1991 (64,239 reports).

The five year (1991 - 1995) decline in reports received by APS agencies in California coincides with the reduction of APS staff statewide over a period of five years (1990 - 1994) as shown in "California Adult Protective Services, Status Report," dated December 1994. In addition, the Status Report shows a corresponding reduction in the number of services provided, other than evaluation and investigation of reports of abuses, over the same five-year period.

Elder And Dependent Adult Abuse In Domestic Settings

Data on Abuse Reports

Statewide, of the 57,628 reports received by county APS agencies in 1995, more than seventy percent, or 41,585 reports, pertain to elder and dependent adult abuse. The 1995 figure on APS abuse reports describes an increase of six percent from 1994 (39,215 reports) and an increase of eighteen percent over 1991(35,252 reports).

Based on a federal General Accounting Office (GAO) national estimate, five percent of the elder population in domestic settings in America are abused annually. In California, which has about 3.3 million people who are age 65 and over, the GAO figures would translate to 165,000 elderly persons in domestic settings who are abused annually. The above figures do not include the number of dependent adults (age 18+ who are functionally impaired) who may be victims of abuse in the state, although the number of reports of dependent adult abuse on a yearly basis has averaged more than one-third of all reports of abuse received by APS programs.

Definition Of Terms

Terms Related To The Abuse Of Elders And Dependent Adults

Elder - Any person, 65 years of age or older.

Dependent adult - Any person between the ages of 18 and 64 who has physical or mental limitations which restrict his/her ability to carry out normal activities or to protect his/her rights including, but not limited to, persons who have physical or developmental disabilities or whose physical or mental abilities have diminished because of age.

A dependent adult also includes any person between the ages of 18 and 64 who is admitted as an inpatient to a 24-hour care facility.

Abuse of an elder or dependent adult - Abuse of an elder or dependent adult means physical abuse, neglect, intimidation, cruel punishment, sexual assault, abandonment, fiduciary abuse, or other treatment which results in physical harm or pain or mental suffering, or the deprivation by a care custodian of goods or services which are necessary to avoid physical harm or mental suffering.

Confirmed - The allegation of abuse has been substantiated.

Not Confirmed - The allegation of abuse has not been substantiated. May include reports of abuse that are unfounded (false reports) or dismissed (insufficient evidence).

Types Of Abuse Perpetrated By Others

Physical abuse - Includes assault, battery, assault with a deadly weapon or force likely to produce great bodily injury, unreasonable physical restraint or prolonged or continual deprivation of food or water; the use of a physical or chemical restraint, or psychotropic medication under specified conditions; and sexual assault here defined as a type of physical abuse characterized by sexual battery, rape, rape in concert, incest, sodomy, oral copulation, or penetration of a genital or anal opening by a foreign object.

Neglect - The negligent failure of any person having the care or custody of an elder or dependent adult to exercise that degree of care which a reasonable person in a like position would exercise. Neglect includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

1. Failure to assist in personal hygiene, or in the provision of food, clothing, or shelter.
2. Failure to provide medical care for physical and mental health needs. No person shall be deemed neglected or abused for the sole reason that he or she voluntarily relies on treatment by spiritual means through prayer alone in lieu of medical treatment.
3. Failure to protect from health and safety hazards.
4. Failure to prevent malnutrition.

Abandonment - The desertion or willful forsaking of an elder or a dependent adult by anyone having care or custody of that person under circumstances in which a reasonable person would continue to provide care and custody.

Fiduciary abuse - A situation in which any person who has the care or custody of, or who stands in a position of trust to, an elder or a dependent adult, takes, secretes, or appropriates their money or property to any use or purpose not in the due and lawful execution of their trust.

Mental Suffering - Deliberately subjecting a person to fear, agitation, confusion, severe depression, or other forms of serious emotional distress through threats, harassment, or other forms of intimidating behavior.

Isolation - Includes preventing delivery of mail, telephone calls, visiting, and also false imprisonment and physical restraint.

Types Of Self-Inflicted Abuse

Physical - Self-neglect and/or other physical abuse, including alcohol abuse and drug abuse.

Fiduciary - Financial mismanagement to the extent that funds for basic needs have been diminished or depleted.

Suicidal - Attempted or threatened suicide.

Terms Related To Adult Protective Services

Adult Protective Services (APS)

This is a range of activities and time-limited services provided or coordinated by county social work staff, through the CWD APS unit. These activities are on behalf of elders or dependent adults who are threatened with or who are being abused, neglected, abandoned or exploited. All elders and dependent adults in need of services are eligible for APS without regard to income. These activities do not supplant services available from, and provided by, other community resources. APS is service-oriented and is not an income maintenance or medical aid program.

Emergency Response (ER)

At the point of intake, CWD staff has determined that the initial evaluation of available information indicates that the situation is of a serious nature which warrants/mandates that an immediate investigation be initiated to establish the facts.

Non-Emergency Response (Non-ER)

At the point of intake, CWD staff has determined that the initial evaluation of available information indicates that the circumstances or conditions of the situation are not likely to produce great bodily harm or death, but that an assessment for services or investigation of abuse is necessary.

An ER case is reclassified as a Non-ER case when the CWD staff has determined that the emergency situation no longer exists because (1) the emergency services have been provided and a follow-up assessment determines the client is no longer in jeopardy and his/her situation has been stabilized, (2) no further emergency protective services are required, and (3) there is a need for Non-ER services.

Assessment

An initial evaluation of the current condition of the client and his/her family at the point of intake.

Case Activities

Case activities represent the number of times a reassessment and subsequent emergency response were provided by the CWD staff during the month. The activities of reassessment for appropriate services and providing emergency response could occur at the same time.

a. Reassessments

A reassessment is the activity which reviews all past assessments and examines the current condition of the client and his/her family that results in written documentation in the case record/case file. The activity must be documented in the case record/case file for a reassessment to occur. The reassessment is used to evaluate the effectiveness of the current service plan and to review the progress that has been made toward achieving the objectives identified in the service plan.

b. Subsequent Emergency Response

A subsequent emergency response is an ER which occurs after an initial intake has been processed. An emergency response is to be reported each time the social worker is required to respond to a circumstance that is defined as an ER case.

Optional Services

Optional Services are social service programs which are not mandated by federal or state law. These services are in addition to normal APS activities. Examples of Optional Services Programs include:

- * Special Care for Children in Their Own Homes
- * Home Management and Other Functional Educational Services
- * Employment/Education Training
- * Services to Alleviate or Prevent Family Problems
- * Sustenance, Housing Report Services
- * Legal Report Services
- * Diagnostic Treatment Services
- * Special Services for the Blind, Deaf or Hearing Impaired
- * Special Services for Adults
- * Services for Disabled Individuals
- * Services to County Jail Inmates

TABLE 1**ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES REPORTS AND ABUSE REPORTS****Calendar Years 1991 to 1995**

The following table describes reports received by county APS agencies from 1991 through 1995. Note that while the total of all reports received by APS agencies declined by 10.3 percent from 1991 to 1995, the number of reports of abuse received by these agencies increased by 18.0 percent. Another way to view this trend is to note that from 1991 through 1995 the percentage of APS reports which were reports of abuse grew by an average annual rate of 5.6 percent for a total change of 31.5 percent over the period.

The chart below compares abuse reports with all other reports received by county APS agencies in California for the year given.

APS Reports and Abuse Reports	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	Percent Change 1991 - 1995	Annual Growth Rate 1991 - 1995
Abuse Reports	35,252	35,962	36,661	39,215	41,585	18.0%	3.4%
Total APS Reports	64,239	60,869	58,333	62,746	57,628	-10.3%	-2.1%
Percent Abuse Reports of Total APS Reports	54.9%	59.1%	62.8%	62.5%	72.2%	31.5%	5.6%


APS Abuse and Other Reports

TABLE 2



CONFIRMED ABUSE REPORTS

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

The following table describes confirmed reports of abuse of elderly persons and dependent adults as received by county APS agencies from 1991 through 1995. Since the data represents a duplicated count of confirmed reports, there can be more than one type of abuse reported per victim. The data show that an average of 61.9 percent of all reports to county APS agencies allege abuse of an elderly person or a dependent adult. The table also shows that 53.7 percent of confirmed abuses are self-inflicted.

The graphs below show percentage distributions of types of abuse perpetrated by others and types of self-inflicted abuse for calendar year 1995. The number in the center of each graph is the total number of abuses effected by the given instigator of the abuse types. It is shown that physical abuse is by far the most frequent type of self-inflicted abuse while the types of abuse perpetrated by others is diffuse.

	APS Reports (Unduplicated Count)		Confirmed Abuse Reports (Duplicated Count)				
Calendar Year	Total Reports	Abuse Reports	Total	Perpetrated by Others	Self-Inflicted	Elderly Persons	Dependent Adults
1991	64,239	35,252	23,010	10,094	12,916	13,537	9,473
1992	60,869	35,962	22,773	9,858	12,915	13,167	9,606
1993	58,333	36,661	22,988	10,657	12,331	13,913	9,075
1994	63,548	39,215	24,350	12,008	12,342	14,791	9,559
1995	57,628	41,585	25,043	12,132	12,911	15,318	9,725
Avg Number	60,923	37,735	23,633	10,950	12,683	14,145	9,488
Avg Percent	100.0%	61.9%	100.0%	46.3%	53.7%	59.9%	40.1%



Confirmed Abuse

- Physical
- Fiduciary
- Neglect
- Mental Suffering
- Abandonment
- Suicidal
- Sexual

Examples Of Abuse

According to data reported by the 58 counties to CDSS, the most common types of elder and dependent adult abuse that are confirmed by investigation as *perpetrated by either the victim or by others* are, in order of frequency of occurrence, physical abuse, fiduciary abuse, neglect, and mental suffering. For a wider understanding of the severity of the abusive behavior *perpetrated by others* against elders and dependent adults which is occurring in our state, and to provide the reader additional knowledge of APS staff activities, the following case examples are offered:

- 3 A 56 year old woman with severe memory impairments due to long term alcoholism was unable to manage her considerable assets and had already lost her residence. Her son became involved but seriously mismanaged her funds. In addition, he attempted to place her where she would be at immediate risk. APS was able to have the woman placed in conservatorship by the Public Guardian.
- 3 A 45 year old blind, alcoholic, disturbed woman was hospitalized for alcoholic hallucinations. Her male roommate, a convicted felon said to be dealing drugs out of the apartment, was reportedly taking financial advantage of her. He was later arrested. Although the woman refused intervention and remained uncooperative, APS was able to help her family manage her behavior.
- 3 A 25 year old developmentally delayed woman revealed to her counselor that she had been sexually molested by her step-father since age 11. APS staff, often working within a hostile family environment, was instrumental in getting the District Attorney's office to file criminal charges against the perpetrator.
- 3 A frail, elderly couple, both age 85, were at risk of emotional, verbal and physical abuse by their caretaker/daughter who has a history of alcoholism and unemployment. An APS worker arranged for respite care to be provided by the couple's son with the understanding that additional help should be hired. This case continues to be closely monitored.
- 3 A 75 year old woman, who had suffered multiple family losses within an 18 month period, was terrified of her son who had recently struck her in the chin with her cane. By the time APS staff intervened, the woman was considering selling her home in order to move away from her son, who lived with her. Although she would not agree to file charges, she met with the District Attorney and obtained a restraining order. She also accepted a referral for grief counseling.
- 3 A 76 year old woman in an early stage of dementia was being financially exploited by her son who had a long history of violence and psychiatric hospitalizations. APS staff obtained the assistance of the woman's sister who subsequently arranged for separate housing and maintenance for the son. The sister was also encouraged to file for conservatorship to protect the woman's assets and to provide for the 24 hour care and supervision which she required.

The preceding examples describe a few of the types of abuse cases referred to APS programs for investigation. APS program staff often provide or arrange for an array of services to a single client, and their intervention may be considered a money-saving service which help prevent hospitalization and nursing home placement of victims of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

Elder and Dependent Adult Abuse in California

Source Of Information

This section is based on information collected from the 58 county welfare departments on the Elder Abuse/Dependent Adult Abuse Monthly Statistical Report, Form SOC 340.

The SOC 340 collects summary statistical information on allegations of abuse received by APS agencies in California and reported as ***occurring in other than long-term care facilities***. Information collected on the SOC 340 form concerns abuse for both elder and dependent adult populations.

The purpose of Form SOC 340 is to meet the mandate set forth in Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, Sections 15620 and 15630.

The information reported on the SOC 340 is distributed monthly within the CDSS for program administration. This is the seventh year during which counties have reported data on the abuse of elder and dependent adults occurring in domestic settings in California.

Background

The Legislature has recognized that elder persons and dependent adults may be subjected to abuse, neglect, or abandonment and that the State has a responsibility to protect such persons. To carry out its intent, the Legislature passed a series of laws to implement a reporting system for elder and dependent adult abuse.

This system ***requires*** certain mandated reporters to report suspected elder or dependent adult physical abuse to the County Adult Protective Services Agency and ***permits*** the reporting of other types of abuse. Mandated reporters may report to a law enforcement agency if the suspected abuse or conduct involves criminal activity not already covered under physical abuse as defined in Welfare and Institutions Code, Section 15610.63.

When an abuse occurs in a long-term care facility, reporting of abuse of elders or dependent adults is made to the local Long-Term Care Ombudsman program under the California Department of Aging or to a local law enforcement agency. An exception to the foregoing is when abuse occurs in a state mental health hospital or a state development center. In these instances the report must be made to designated investigators of the State Department of Mental Health or the State Department of Developmental Services or, alternatively, to the local law enforcement agency.


Statewide Data

Calendar Year 1995
(Except as Noted)

TABLE 3**ABUSE REPORTS RECEIVED****Calendar Year 1995**

Although self-inflicted abuse was reported in just slightly more than 50 percent of the total number of abuse reports received by county APS agencies in California during calendar year 1995, self-inflicted abuse accounted for 63.2 percent of reported abuses of dependent adults. Abuse perpetrated by other persons accounted for 55.8 percent of abuse reports received regarding elderly persons.

Reports Evaluated and/or Investigated	Total		Elders		Dependent Adults	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	25,043	100.0%	15,318	100.0%	9,725	100.0%
Abuse Perpetrated by Others	12,132	48.4%	8,551	55.8%	3,581	36.8%
Self-Inflicted Abuse	12,911	51.6%	6,767	44.2%	6,144	63.2%

Abuse Reports

□ Abuse Perpetrated by Others
 ■ Self-Inflicted Abuse




TABLE 4

ACTIONS TAKEN ON ABUSE REPORTS

Calendar Year 1995

Data collected by county APS agencies in California show that of the 41,585 reports of abuse received, 83.9 percent were investigated and abuse was confirmed in 51.3 percent of all abuse reports received.

Actions Taken on Reports of Abuse	Total		Elders		Dependent Adults	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	41,585	100.0%	27,171	100.0%	14,414	100.0%
Evaluated/Not Investigated	6,698	16.1%	4,571	16.8%	2,127	14.8%
Investigated/Not Confirmed	13,559	32.6%	9,824	36.2%	3,735	25.9%
Investigated/Confirmed	21,328	51.3%	12,776	47.0%	8,552	59.3%

Actions Taken on Abuse Reports





TABLE 5

INVESTIGATED REPORTS OF ABUSE

Calendar Year 1995

Abuse was confirmed in 61.1 percent of all reports investigated by county APS agencies. More specifically, abuse was confirmed in 56.5 percent of investigated reports of abuse of an elderly person and in 69.6 percent of investigated reports of abuse of a dependent adult.

Investigated Reports	Total		Elders		Dependent Adults	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
<u>Total</u>	<u>34,887</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>22,600</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>12,287</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Confirmed	21,328	61.1%	12,776	56.5%	8,552	69.6%
Not Confirmed	13,559	38.9%	9,824	43.5%	3,735	30.4%

Investigated Reports of Abuse

☐ Confirmed
☒ Not Confirmed





TABLE 6

CONFIRMED ELDER ABUSE

Calendar Year 1995

The information in the following table and chart show that about half of the total number of confirmed abuses are physical. Although fiduciary abuse is by far the most prevalent type of self-inflicted abuse among elderly persons, abuse perpetrated by others is distributed, in descending order of frequency, among neglect, fiduciary, physical, and mental suffering. The data presents a duplicated count of types of abuses reported in which more than one type of abuse can be reported per victim.

Elder Abuse	Total		Perpetrated by Others		Self-Inflicted	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	15,318	100.0%	8,551	100.0%	6,767	100.0%
Physical	7,888	51.5%	1,992	23.3%	5,896	87.1%
Fiduciary	2,953	19.3%	2,197	25.7%	756	11.2%
Neglect	2,342	15.3%	2,342	27.4%	-	-
Mental Suffering	1,771	11.6%	1,771	20.7%	-	-
Abandonment	195	1.3%	195	2.3%	-	-
Suicidal	115	0.8%	-	-	115	1.7%
Sexual	54	0.4%	54	0.6%	-	-

Confirmed Elder Abuse





TABLE 6A
CONFIRMED DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE
Calendar Year 1995

The following information shows that a little more than two-thirds of the total number of abuses confirmed as perpetrated or inflicted on a dependent adult are physical. Although physical abuse is by far the most prevalent type of self-inflicted abuse among dependent adults (87.8%), less than one-third of the abuses perpetrated by others are physical. The data presents a duplicated count of types of abuses reported in which more than one type of abuse can be reported per victim.

Dependent Adult Abuse	Total		Perpetrated by Others		Self-Inflicted	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	9,725	100.0%	3,581	100.0%	6,144	100.0%
Physical	6,538	67.2%	1,144	31.9%	5,394	87.8%
Fiduciary	1,226	12.6%	581	16.2%	645	10.5%
Neglect	841	8.6%	841	23.5%	-	-
Mental Suffering	689	7.1%	689	19.2%	-	-
Abandonment	77	0.8%	77	2.2%	-	-
Suicidal	105	1.1%	-	-	105	1.7%
Sexual	249	2.6%	249	7.0%	-	-

Confirmed Dependent Adult Abuse






TABLE 7

**ELDER ABUSE:
PERPETRATED BY OTHERS AND SELF-NEGLECT**

Annual Activity

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

Table 7 presents the number of elders who experienced self-inflicted abuse or abuse perpetrated by others over the calendar years 1991 through 1995. The data represents an unduplicated count, however, annual data may be duplicated if a person had been abused in different months during the year.





TABLE 7A

**DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE:
PERPETRATED BY OTHERS AND SELF-NEGLECT**

**Annual Activity
Calendar Years 1991 through 1995**

Table 7A presents the number of dependent adults who experienced self-inflicted abuse or abuse perpetrated by others over the calendar years 1991 through 1995. The data represents an unduplicated count, however, annual data may be duplicated if a person had been abused in different months during the year.



County Data

Calendar Year 1995

TABLE 8
ELDER ABUSE: REPORTS RECEIVED
Calendar Year 1995

County	Total		Abuse Perpetrated by Others		Self-Inflicted Abuse	
	Received	Percent	Received	Percent	Received	Percent
Statewide	27,171	100.0%	15,411	100.0%	11,760	100.0%
Alameda	934	3.4%	504	3.3%	430	3.7%
Alpine	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	28	0.1%	17	0.1%	11	0.1%
Butte	410	1.5%	244	1.6%	166	1.4%
Calaveras	61	0.2%	40	0.3%	21	0.2%
Colusa	8	0.0%	8	0.1%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	476	1.8%	373	2.4%	103	0.9%
Del Norte	50	0.2%	37	0.2%	13	0.1%
El Dorado	242	0.9%	89	0.6%	153	1.3%
Fresno	385	1.4%	244	1.6%	141	1.2%
Glenn	29	0.1%	10	0.1%	19	0.2%
Humboldt	130	0.5%	83	0.5%	47	0.4%
Imperial	95	0.3%	14	0.1%	81	0.7%
Inyo	26	0.1%	20	0.1%	6	0.1%
Kern	845	3.1%	526	3.4%	319	2.7%
Kings	58	0.2%	22	0.1%	36	0.3%
Lake	103	0.4%	51	0.3%	52	0.4%
Lassen	21	0.1%	15	0.1%	6	0.1%
Los Angeles	6,558	24.1%	3,511	22.8%	3,047	25.9%
Madera	153	0.6%	82	0.5%	71	0.6%
Marin	173	0.6%	71	0.5%	102	0.9%
Mariposa	31	0.1%	24	0.2%	7	0.1%
Mendocino	62	0.2%	46	0.3%	16	0.1%
Merced	134	0.5%	55	0.4%	79	0.7%
Modoc	15	0.1%	4	0.0%	11	0.1%
Mono	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%
Monterey	95	0.3%	84	0.5%	11	0.1%
Napa	148	0.5%	64	0.4%	84	0.7%
Nevada	160	0.6%	72	0.5%	88	0.7%
Orange	2,082	7.7%	1,225	7.9%	857	7.3%
Placer	170	0.6%	101	0.7%	69	0.6%
Plumas	51	0.2%	10	0.1%	41	0.3%
Riverside	2,515	9.3%	1,243	8.1%	1,272	10.8%
Sacramento	996	3.7%	570	3.7%	426	3.6%
San Benito	36	0.1%	18	0.1%	18	0.2%
San Bernardino	1,477	5.4%	764	5.0%	713	6.1%
San Diego	1,865	6.9%	1,458	9.5%	407	3.5%
San Francisco	248	0.9%	189	1.2%	59	0.5%
San Joaquin	347	1.3%	212	1.4%	135	1.1%
San Luis Obispo	468	1.7%	244	1.6%	224	1.9%
San Mateo	285	1.0%	272	1.8%	13	0.1%
Santa Barbara	575	2.1%	263	1.7%	312	2.7%
Santa Clara	1,232	4.5%	693	4.5%	539	4.6%
Santa Cruz	260	1.0%	164	1.1%	96	0.8%
Shasta	381	1.4%	223	1.4%	158	1.3%
Sierra	13	0.0%	1	0.0%	12	0.1%
Siskiyou	49	0.2%	34	0.2%	15	0.1%
Solano	191	0.7%	91	0.6%	100	0.9%
Sonoma	347	1.3%	247	1.6%	100	0.9%
Stanislaus	212	0.8%	136	0.9%	76	0.6%
Sutter	112	0.4%	45	0.3%	67	0.6%
Tehama	94	0.3%	55	0.4%	39	0.3%
Trinity	73	0.3%	46	0.3%	27	0.2%
Tulare	654	2.4%	261	1.7%	393	3.3%
Tuolumne	97	0.4%	45	0.3%	52	0.4%
Ventura	666	2.5%	355	2.3%	311	2.6%
Yolo	158	0.6%	89	0.6%	69	0.6%
Yuba	83	0.3%	45	0.3%	38	0.3%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 8A
DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE: REPORTS RECEIVED
Calendar Year 1995

County	Total		Abuse Perpetrated by Others		Self-Inflicted Abuse	
	Received	Percent	Received	Percent	Received	Percent
Statewide	14,414	100.0%	6,460	100.0%	7,954	100.0%
Alameda	550	3.8%	489	7.6%	61	0.8%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	7	0.0%	6	0.1%	1	0.0%
Butte	226	1.6%	151	2.3%	75	0.9%
Calaveras	22	0.2%	16	0.2%	6	0.1%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	186	1.3%	147	2.3%	39	0.5%
Del Norte	29	0.2%	24	0.4%	5	0.1%
El Dorado	74	0.5%	36	0.6%	38	0.5%
Fresno	165	1.1%	110	1.7%	55	0.7%
Glenn	9	0.1%	3	0.0%	6	0.1%
Humboldt	54	0.4%	29	0.4%	25	0.3%
Imperial	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%
Inyo	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kern	364	2.5%	244	3.8%	120	1.5%
Kings	25	0.2%	6	0.1%	19	0.2%
Lake	9	0.1%	5	0.1%	4	0.1%
Lassen	12	0.1%	10	0.2%	2	0.0%
Los Angeles	6,204	43.0%	1,198	18.5%	5,006	62.9%
Madera	69	0.5%	35	0.5%	34	0.4%
Marin	22	0.2%	12	0.2%	10	0.1%
Mariposa	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%
Mendocino	26	0.2%	20	0.3%	6	0.1%
Merced	122	0.8%	33	0.5%	89	1.1%
Modoc	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monterey	43	0.3%	42	0.7%	1	0.0%
Napa	29	0.2%	24	0.4%	5	0.1%
Nevada	55	0.4%	22	0.3%	33	0.4%
Orange	622	4.3%	419	6.5%	203	2.6%
Placer	56	0.4%	35	0.5%	21	0.3%
Plumas	19	0.1%	4	0.1%	15	0.2%
Riverside	587	4.1%	365	5.7%	222	2.8%
Sacramento	264	1.8%	122	1.9%	142	1.8%
San Benito	5	0.0%	4	0.1%	1	0.0%
San Bernardino	667	4.6%	366	5.7%	301	3.8%
San Diego	828	5.7%	667	10.3%	161	2.0%
San Francisco	62	0.4%	56	0.9%	6	0.1%
San Joaquin	151	1.0%	95	1.5%	56	0.7%
San Luis Obispo	92	0.6%	53	0.8%	39	0.5%
San Mateo	106	0.7%	97	1.5%	9	0.1%
Santa Barbara	230	1.6%	125	1.9%	105	1.3%
Santa Clara	754	5.2%	475	7.4%	279	3.5%
Santa Cruz	57	0.4%	33	0.5%	24	0.3%
Shasta	200	1.4%	121	1.9%	79	1.0%
Sierra	8	0.1%	2	0.0%	6	0.1%
Siskiyou	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	0	0.0%
Solano	89	0.6%	64	1.0%	25	0.3%
Sonoma	109	0.8%	87	1.3%	22	0.3%
Stanislaus	112	0.8%	86	1.3%	26	0.3%
Sutter	39	0.3%	20	0.3%	19	0.2%
Tehama	41	0.3%	25	0.4%	16	0.2%
Trinity	24	0.2%	19	0.3%	5	0.1%
Tulare	359	2.5%	166	2.6%	193	2.4%
Tuolumne	48	0.3%	24	0.4%	24	0.3%
Ventura	454	3.1%	207	3.2%	247	3.1%
Yolo	81	0.6%	37	0.6%	44	0.6%
Yuba	30	0.2%	11	0.2%	19	0.2%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 9
CONFIRMED/NOT CONFIRMED REPORTS OF ABUSE
Calendar Year 1995

County	Elder Abuse				Dependent Adult Abuse			
	Confirmed	Percent	Not Confirmed	Percent	Confirmed	Percent	Not Confirmed	Percent
Statewide	12,776	100.0%	9,824	100.0%	8,552	100.0%	3,735	100.0%
Alameda	547	4.3%	351	3.6%	88	1.0%	79	2.1%
Alpine	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	3	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
Butte	157	1.2%	172	1.8%	69	0.8%	94	2.5%
Calaveras	24	0.2%	27	0.3%	8	0.1%	8	0.2%
Colusa	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	135	1.1%	120	1.2%	49	0.6%	28	0.7%
Del Norte	6	0.0%	32	0.3%	1	0.0%	12	0.3%
El Dorado	139	1.1%	68	0.7%	43	0.5%	14	0.4%
Fresno	140	1.1%	242	2.5%	80	0.9%	81	2.2%
Glenn	16	0.1%	8	0.1%	4	0.0%	2	0.1%
Humboldt	44	0.3%	42	0.4%	11	0.1%	18	0.5%
Imperial	1	0.0%	94	1.0%	3	0.0%	1	0.0%
Inyo	1	0.0%	20	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Kern	453	3.5%	273	2.8%	203	2.4%	112	3.0%
Kings	24	0.2%	28	0.3%	12	0.1%	9	0.2%
Lake	56	0.4%	45	0.5%	6	0.1%	2	0.1%
Lassen	7	0.1%	9	0.1%	2	0.0%	7	0.2%
Los Angeles	3,918	30.7%	2,640	26.9%	5,050	59.1%	1,154	30.9%
Madera	39	0.3%	90	0.9%	21	0.2%	36	1.0%
Marin	109	0.9%	45	0.5%	17	0.2%	5	0.1%
Mariposa	27	0.2%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merced	45	0.4%	87	0.9%	34	0.4%	85	2.3%
Modoc	7	0.1%	4	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monterey	42	0.3%	48	0.5%	19	0.2%	22	0.6%
Napa	89	0.7%	49	0.5%	14	0.2%	8	0.2%
Nevada	13	0.1%	147	1.5%	6	0.1%	49	1.3%
Orange	1,064	8.3%	308	3.1%	278	3.3%	75	2.0%
Placer	170	1.3%	0	0.0%	56	0.7%	0	0.0%
Plumas	40	0.3%	7	0.1%	16	0.2%	2	0.1%
Riverside	468	3.7%	1,332	13.6%	105	1.2%	353	9.5%
Sacramento	270	2.1%	719	7.3%	63	0.7%	201	5.4%
San Benito	32	0.3%	2	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%
San Bernardino	815	6.4%	456	4.6%	317	3.7%	210	5.6%
San Diego	585	4.6%	719	7.3%	305	3.6%	362	9.7%
San Francisco	219	1.7%	29	0.3%	53	0.6%	9	0.2%
San Joaquin	180	1.4%	144	1.5%	71	0.8%	57	1.5%
San Luis Obispo	214	1.7%	196	2.0%	44	0.5%	30	0.8%
San Mateo	85	0.7%	150	1.5%	26	0.3%	60	1.6%
Santa Barbara	208	1.6%	171	1.7%	75	0.9%	70	1.9%
Santa Clara	849	6.6%	17	0.2%	693	8.1%	17	0.5%
Santa Cruz	41	0.3%	104	1.1%	8	0.1%	18	0.5%
Shasta	276	2.2%	30	0.3%	139	1.6%	19	0.5%
Sierra	6	0.0%	5	0.1%	2	0.0%	3	0.1%
Siskiyou	34	0.3%	13	0.1%	5	0.1%	2	0.1%
Solano	37	0.3%	142	1.4%	23	0.3%	54	1.4%
Sonoma	171	1.3%	0	0.0%	38	0.4%	0	0.0%
Stanislaus	86	0.7%	118	1.2%	55	0.6%	47	1.3%
Sutter	65	0.5%	30	0.3%	24	0.3%	7	0.2%
Tehama	60	0.5%	23	0.2%	22	0.3%	9	0.2%
Trinity	23	0.2%	43	0.4%	8	0.1%	16	0.4%
Tulare	124	1.0%	105	1.1%	53	0.6%	66	1.8%
Tuolumne	41	0.3%	13	0.1%	24	0.3%	2	0.1%
Ventura	477	3.7%	149	1.5%	251	2.9%	153	4.1%
Yolo	75	0.6%	77	0.8%	39	0.5%	42	1.1%
Yuba	18	0.1%	64	0.7%	9	0.1%	21	0.6%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 10
CONFIRMED ELDER ABUSE: PERPETRATED BY OTHERS
Calendar Year 1995

County	Physical			Sexual		Neglect		Abandonment		Mental Suffering		Fiduciary	
	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	8,551	1,992	100.0%	54	100.0%	2,342	100.0%	195	100.0%	1,771	100.0%	2,197	100.0%
Alameda	269	70	3.5%	0	0.0%	47	2.0%	15	7.7%	60	3.4%	77	3.5%
Alpine	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Amador	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Butte	226	61	3.1%	0	0.0%	65	2.8%	4	2.1%	35	2.0%	61	2.8%
Calaveras	14	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.2%	1	0.5%	2	0.1%	4	0.2%
Colusa	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	168	34	1.7%	0	0.0%	42	1.8%	3	1.5%	34	1.9%	55	2.5%
Del Norte	4	3	0.2%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
El Dorado	45	10	0.5%	0	0.0%	13	0.6%	2	1.0%	9	0.5%	11	0.5%
Fresno	75	22	1.1%	1	1.9%	19	0.8%	2	1.0%	10	0.6%	21	1.0%
Glenn	4	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humboldt	37	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	8	0.3%	1	0.5%	12	0.7%	14	0.6%
Imperial	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Inyo	1	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kern	290	57	2.9%	9	16.7%	106	4.5%	12	6.2%	40	2.3%	66	3.0%
Kings	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
Lake	29	12	0.6%	1	1.9%	9	0.4%	1	0.5%	1	0.1%	5	0.2%
Lassen	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.1%	1	0.0%
Los Angeles	1,672	340	17.1%	8	14.8%	457	19.5%	21	10.8%	415	23.4%	431	19.6%
Madera	28	7	0.4%	0	0.0%	16	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	4	0.2%
Marin	74	16	0.8%	0	0.0%	15	0.6%	2	1.0%	27	1.5%	14	0.6%
Mariposa	29	5	0.3%	1	1.9%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	6	0.3%
Mendocino	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merced	11	2	0.1%	1	1.9%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	5	0.2%
Modoc	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Mono	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Monterey	39	20	1.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	3	1.5%	6	0.3%	6	0.3%
Napa	35	9	0.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%	1	0.5%	8	0.5%	11	0.5%
Nevada	4	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Orange	855	114	5.7%	4	7.4%	300	12.8%	7	3.6%	211	11.9%	219	10.0%
Placer	152	25	1.3%	1	1.9%	54	2.3%	10	5.1%	26	1.5%	36	1.6%
Plumas	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	3	0.1%
Riverside	367	61	3.1%	2	3.7%	140	6.0%	16	8.2%	69	3.9%	79	3.6%
Sacramento	137	36	1.8%	0	0.0%	32	1.4%	5	2.6%	38	2.1%	26	1.2%
San Benito	21	8	0.4%	1	1.9%	2	0.1%	1	0.5%	5	0.3%	4	0.2%
San Bernardino	570	119	6.0%	6	11.1%	121	5.2%	19	9.7%	148	8.4%	157	7.1%
San Diego	548	146	7.3%	5	9.3%	162	6.9%	15	7.7%	91	5.1%	129	5.9%
San Francisco	331	105	5.3%	2	3.7%	64	2.7%	6	3.1%	84	4.7%	70	3.2%
San Joaquin	97	15	0.8%	0	0.0%	13	0.6%	1	0.5%	28	1.6%	40	1.8%
San Luis Obispo	84	30	1.5%	0	0.0%	28	1.2%	3	1.5%	7	0.4%	16	0.7%
San Mateo	108	32	1.6%	1	1.9%	29	1.2%	4	2.1%	15	0.8%	27	1.2%
Santa Barbara	100	16	0.8%	0	0.0%	45	1.9%	3	1.5%	19	1.1%	17	0.8%
Santa Clara	559	164	8.2%	5	9.3%	143	6.1%	6	3.1%	84	4.7%	157	7.1%
Santa Cruz	21	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	0.5%
Shasta	241	94	4.7%	2	3.7%	28	1.2%	14	7.2%	10	0.6%	93	4.2%
Sierra	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Siskiyou	21	12	0.6%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	1	0.5%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%
Solano	10	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.0%
Sonoma	144	60	3.0%	0	0.0%	34	1.5%	0	0.0%	8	0.5%	42	1.9%
Stanislaus	73	32	1.6%	1	1.9%	18	0.8%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%	18	0.8%
Sutter	28	7	0.4%	0	0.0%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	6	0.3%
Tehama	36	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	17	1.0%	12	0.5%
Trinity	22	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	14	0.6%	2	1.0%	3	0.2%	1	0.0%
Tulare	89	16	0.8%	2	3.7%	26	1.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.4%	38	1.7%
Tuolumne	18	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	7	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	2	0.1%
Ventura	788	191	9.6%	0	0.0%	218	9.3%	7	3.6%	208	11.7%	164	7.5%
Yolo	46	9	0.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%	0	0.0%	8	0.5%	23	1.0%
Yuba	7	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 10A
CONFIRMED ELDER ABUSE: SELF-INFLICTED
Calendar Year 1995

County	Total	Physical		Fiduciary		Suicidal	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	6,767	5,896	100.0%	756	100.0%	115	100.0%
Alameda	354	276	4.7%	78	10.3%	0	0.0%
Alpine	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Butte	123	111	1.9%	9	1.2%	3	2.6%
Calaveras	10	7	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	0.9%
Colusa	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	26	20	0.3%	6	0.8%	0	0.0%
Del Norte	2	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
El Dorado	94	82	1.4%	8	1.1%	4	3.5%
Fresno	85	82	1.4%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%
Glenn	12	12	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humboldt	33	30	0.5%	2	0.3%	1	0.9%
Imperial	1	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Inyo	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kern	166	93	1.6%	65	8.6%	8	7.0%
Kings	21	18	0.3%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%
Lake	31	23	0.4%	5	0.7%	3	2.6%
Lassen	1	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Los Angeles	2,392	2,286	38.8%	79	10.4%	27	23.5%
Madera	22	18	0.3%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%
Marin	73	54	0.9%	14	1.9%	5	4.3%
Mariposa	8	3	0.1%	4	0.5%	1	0.9%
Mendocino	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merced	3	1	0.0%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Modoc	5	2	0.0%	2	0.3%	1	0.9%
Mono	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monterey	9	9	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Napa	67	55	0.9%	11	1.5%	1	0.9%
Nevada	9	8	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Orange	537	499	8.5%	29	3.8%	9	7.8%
Placer	70	62	1.1%	6	0.8%	2	1.7%
Plumas	32	32	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Riverside	163	134	2.3%	19	2.5%	10	8.7%
Sacramento	132	116	2.0%	14	1.9%	2	1.7%
San Benito	13	13	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Bernardino	483	430	7.3%	47	6.2%	6	5.2%
San Diego	271	219	3.7%	52	6.9%	0	0.0%
San Francisco	59	55	0.9%	2	0.3%	2	1.7%
San Joaquin	83	82	1.4%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
San Luis Obispo	130	116	2.0%	9	1.2%	5	4.3%
San Mateo	10	6	0.1%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%
Santa Barbara	113	102	1.7%	4	0.5%	7	6.1%
Santa Clara	350	325	5.5%	22	2.9%	3	2.6%
Santa Cruz	18	14	0.2%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%
Shasta	143	102	1.7%	37	4.9%	4	3.5%
Sierra	5	4	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Siskiyou	16	15	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
Solano	27	21	0.4%	5	0.7%	1	0.9%
Sonoma	27	26	0.4%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Stanislaus	31	29	0.5%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Sutter	37	23	0.4%	13	1.7%	1	0.9%
Tehama	37	31	0.5%	4	0.5%	2	1.7%
Trinity	2	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulare	64	46	0.8%	18	2.4%	0	0.0%
Tuolumne	23	19	0.3%	3	0.4%	1	0.9%
Ventura	288	137	2.3%	148	19.6%	3	2.6%
Yolo	44	32	0.5%	11	1.5%	1	0.9%
Yuba	12	12	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 11
CONFIRMED DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE: PERPETRATED BY OTHERS
Calendar Year 1995

County	Physical			Sexual		Neglect		Abandonment		Mental Suffering		Fiduciary	
	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	3,581	1,144	57.4%	249	461.1%	841	35.9%	77	39.5%	689	38.9%	581	26.4%
Alameda	52	16	0.8%	3	5.6%	13	0.6%	1	0.5%	10	0.6%	9	0.4%
Alpine	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Butte	116	40	2.0%	7	13.0%	26	1.1%	1	0.5%	22	1.2%	20	0.9%
Calaveras	6	2	0.1%	1	1.9%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.0%
Colusa	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	48	9	0.5%	3	5.6%	18	0.8%	1	0.5%	4	0.2%	13	0.6%
Del Norte	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
El Dorado	24	7	0.4%	6	11.1%	3	0.1%	1	0.5%	3	0.2%	4	0.2%
Fresno	46	24	1.2%	3	5.6%	11	0.5%	1	0.5%	4	0.2%	3	0.1%
Glenn	3	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Humboldt	5	1	0.1%	1	1.9%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Imperial	2	1	0.1%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Inyo	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kern	133	28	1.4%	8	14.8%	46	2.0%	2	1.0%	18	1.0%	31	1.4%
Kings	2	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Lake	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Lassen	2	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Los Angeles	659	214	10.7%	59	109.3%	132	5.6%	2	1.0%	155	8.8%	97	4.4%
Madera	19	6	0.3%	1	1.9%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.0%
Marin	12	1	0.1%	2	3.7%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.4%	0	0.0%
Mariposa	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Mendocino	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merced	5	3	0.2%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Modoc	1	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mono	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monterey	21	11	0.6%	1	1.9%	6	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
Napa	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	1	0.5%	4	0.2%	3	0.1%
Nevada	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Orange	249	56	2.8%	11	20.4%	76	3.2%	3	1.5%	69	3.9%	34	1.5%
Placer	38	18	0.9%	8	14.8%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	4	0.2%
Plumas	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Riverside	115	27	1.4%	5	9.3%	33	1.4%	4	2.1%	23	1.3%	23	1.0%
Sacramento	22	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	7	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	6	0.3%
San Benito	4	1	0.1%	3	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Bernardino	254	61	3.1%	13	24.1%	59	2.5%	12	6.2%	54	3.0%	55	2.5%
San Diego	313	120	6.0%	15	27.8%	76	3.2%	7	3.6%	54	3.0%	41	1.9%
San Francisco	85	37	1.9%	12	22.2%	14	0.6%	1	0.5%	12	0.7%	9	0.4%
San Joaquin	42	13	0.7%	3	5.6%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	9	0.5%	5	0.2%
San Luis Obispo	18	7	0.4%	6	11.1%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%
San Mateo	38	13	0.7%	2	3.7%	6	0.3%	1	0.5%	9	0.5%	7	0.3%
Santa Barbara	40	18	0.9%	3	5.6%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%	3	0.1%
Santa Clara	533	180	9.0%	45	83.3%	119	5.1%	28	14.4%	84	4.7%	77	3.5%
Santa Cruz	4	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Shasta	107	49	2.5%	11	20.4%	5	0.2%	4	2.1%	9	0.5%	29	1.3%
Sierra	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Siskiyou	5	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Solano	15	8	0.4%	1	1.9%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.0%
Sonoma	31	20	1.0%	2	3.7%	5	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%
Stanislaus	48	29	1.5%	1	1.9%	10	0.4%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	7	0.3%
Sutter	13	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	6	0.3%
Tehama	18	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	8	0.5%	4	0.2%
Trinity	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulare	37	8	0.4%	4	7.4%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	9	0.4%
Tuolumne	9	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	2	0.1%
Ventura	339	87	4.4%	4	7.4%	100	4.3%	1	0.5%	93	5.3%	54	2.5%
Yolo	18	6	0.3%	3	5.6%	3	0.1%	1	0.5%	1	0.1%	4	0.2%
Yuba	3	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 11A
DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE: SELF-INFLICTED
Calendar Year 1995

County	Physical			Fiduciary		Suicidal	
	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Statewide	6,144	5,394	100.0%	645	100.0%	105	100.0%
Alameda	47	32	0.6%	13	2.0%	2	1.9%
Alpine	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Amador	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Butte	49	37	0.7%	1	0.2%	11	10.5%
Calaveras	2	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Colusa	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Contra Costa	13	10	0.2%	3	0.5%	0	0.0%
Del Norte	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
El Dorado	24	18	0.3%	2	0.3%	4	3.8%
Fresno	40	39	0.7%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Glenn	1	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Humboldt	12	10	0.2%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Imperial	2	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	1.0%
Inyo	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kern	72	43	0.8%	26	4.0%	3	2.9%
Kings	10	9	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Lake	2	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lassen	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Los Angeles	4,492	4,118	76.3%	350	54.3%	24	22.9%
Madera	10	7	0.1%	1	0.2%	2	1.9%
Marin	12	8	0.1%	3	0.5%	1	1.0%
Mariposa	1	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Mendocino	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Merced	17	0	0.0%	17	2.6%	0	0.0%
Modoc	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%
Mono	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monterey	1	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Napa	5	4	0.1%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Nevada	5	4	0.1%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%
Orange	132	120	2.2%	4	0.6%	8	7.6%
Placer	26	22	0.4%	2	0.3%	2	1.9%
Plumas	12	12	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Riverside	35	27	0.5%	7	1.1%	1	1.0%
Sacramento	39	36	0.7%	3	0.5%	0	0.0%
San Benito	1	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Bernardino	193	158	2.9%	28	4.3%	7	6.7%
San Diego	104	74	1.4%	30	4.7%	0	0.0%
San Francisco	10	10	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Joaquin	29	29	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Luis Obispo	26	26	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
San Mateo	3	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
Santa Barbara	35	31	0.6%	2	0.3%	2	1.9%
Santa Clara	280	252	4.7%	9	1.4%	19	18.1%
Santa Cruz	3	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shasta	70	43	0.8%	19	2.9%	8	7.6%
Sierra	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
Siskiyou	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Solano	8	6	0.1%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Sonoma	7	5	0.1%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Stanislaus	22	22	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sutter	11	3	0.1%	6	0.9%	2	1.9%
Tehama	13	11	0.2%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%
Trinity	2	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tulare	24	19	0.4%	5	0.8%	0	0.0%
Tuolumne	15	12	0.2%	2	0.3%	1	1.0%
Ventura	191	100	1.9%	89	13.8%	2	1.9%
Yolo	27	19	0.4%	8	1.2%	0	0.0%
Yuba	5	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

Adult Protective Services in California

Source Of Information

This section is based on information collected from the 58 county welfare departments on the County Services Block Grant (CSBG) Programs Monthly Statistical Report, Form SOC 242.

The SOC 242 records information in the following areas: Information and Referral; Out-of-Home Care for Adults; Adult Protective Services which consist of Emergency Response and Non-Emergency Response Services; Case Activities; and Optional Services. In this publication, Adult Protective and Optional Services data will be displayed.

The general purpose of the SOC 242 report is to collect current information on activities and trends in the CSBG Program, and to provide a factual basis to county, state, and federal administrators for budgeting, program planning, and other administrative decisions concerning social services in California. The specific purpose of the SOC 242 is to collect caseload information and level of activities in each of the CSBG Programs.

The information reported on the SOC 242 is distributed monthly within the CDSS for program administration.

Background

The SOC 242, revised January 1991, is the result of the efforts of the County Welfare Directors Association's Adult Protective Services Committee, which included representatives from the counties and the CDSS.

This committee was established after the introduction of legislation in the Senate and CDSS's proposal for an Emergency Response program for elderly and dependent adults. The Legislature's bill would have provided for a state mandated program which required more complete emergency response program services data. Even though this and subsequent legislative efforts were unsuccessful, the revised SOC 242 contains emergency response related data elements which will provide an historical profile which can be used to make operational comparisons when any future legislative efforts to implement a statewide Emergency Response program are successful.

Due to line-item changes on the SOC 242 report form, statistical data collected prior to January 1991 are not comparable to data in subsequent annual reports

Statewide Data

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995
(Except as Noted)

TABLE 12

ACTIVE ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES CASES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

Active APS cases are the total of all cases that were active for the calendar year. This includes numbers for both Emergency Response and Non-Emergency Response cases.




TABLE 13

TOTAL EMERGENCY RESPONSES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

This chart presents all cases opened during the year for which an emergency response was necessary.




TABLE 14

TOTAL NON-EMERGENCY RESPONSES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

This chart presents all cases opened from intake during the calendar years for which a non-emergency response was made.




TABLE 15

TOTAL CASE ACTIONS

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

Case actions include all emergency response and non-emergency response cases opened from intake and any reassessments completed during the calendar year.




TABLE 16

TOTAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE ACTIVITY

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

Emergency Response activity includes all Emergency Response cases opened during the year and any subsequent Emergency Responses made during the year.




TABLE 17**ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES****Calendar Year 1995**

The following table presents the twelve monthly subtotals that comprise the annual totals for line item numbers 7, 8, 9, 13, 14a, 18, and 19, respectively, as shown on the form SOC 242, 'County Services Block Grant Programs Annual Statistical Report' for calendar year 1995 (see page 65).

Month	APS Reports	ER Cases Brought Forward from Prior Period	ER Cases Opened at Intake	Non-ER Cases Brought Forward from Prior Period	Non-ER Cases Opened at Intake	Reassessments	Subsequent ER
January	4,944	1,488	846	6,153	3,399	1,427	900
February	4,426	1,529	774	6,091	3,256	1,428	725
March	5,015	1,549	988	6,254	3,570	1,649	947
April	4,483	1,589	936	6,020	2,876	1,294	1,012
May	4,846	1,601	889	5,892	3,384	1,335	947
June	4,815	1,269	967	5,965	3,431	1,176	1,238
July	4,632	1,267	648	6,019	3,036	1,052	703
August	5,420	1,048	739	5,646	3,630	1,274	849
September	4,951	1,103	662	5,762	3,178	1,169	767
October	4,721	1,092	801	5,823	3,278	1,051	783
November	4,692	1,137	704	5,841	3,315	1,021	748
December	4,683	1,164	606	6,265	3,021	1,029	705
Annual	57,628	1,488	9,560	6,153	39,374	14,905	10,324

TABLE 18**ANNUAL CASELOAD SUMMARIES****Calendar Years 1991 through 1995**

The following table and chart describe the percentage of the total active caseload which are active Emergency Response and active Non-Emergency Response cases. The data reflects a five year average of 18.1 percent for active emergency response cases and a five year average of 81.9 percent for active Non-Emergency cases.

Calendar Year	Total Active Cases	Active ER Cases	Percent Active ER Cases of Total Active Cases	Active Non-ER Cases	Percent Active Non-ER Cases of Total Active Cases
1991	54,506	10,246	18.8%	44,260	81.2%
1992	52,464	8,645	16.5%	43,819	83.5%
1993	53,901	9,008	16.7%	44,893	83.3%
1994	53,292	9,864	18.5%	43,428	81.5%
1995	56,575	11,048	19.5%	45,527	80.5%
5-Year Avg.	54,148	9,762	18.0%	44,385	82.0%

Active Cases

☐ Emergency Response Cases
☒ Non-Emergency Response Cases




TABLE 19

EMERGENCY RESPONSE CASES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

This table contains annual totals of Emergency Response cases opened, closed, and transferred to Non-Emergency Response during the year.




TABLE 20

NON-EMERGENCY RESPONSE CASES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

This table contains annual totals of Non-Emergency Response cases opened from intake, closed, and transferred from Emergency Response during the year.




TABLE 21

**ACTIVITIES FOR ALL OPEN
EMERGENCY RESPONSE/NON-EMERGENCY RESPONSE CASES**

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

This table contains case activities completed during the year. These activities are additional services performed on cases subsequent to an initial intake in either Emergency Response or Non-Emergency Response status. The data can contain a duplicate count for a particular case due to the possibility that an active case can have one or more subsequent emergency responses during the report year.

Activities for All Open Emergency Response/Non-Emergency Response Cases





TABLE 22

OPTIONAL SERVICES

Calendar Years 1991 through 1995

Some county welfare departments provide optional services in addition to normal APS activities. The number of cases can be a duplicate count due to the possibility that an active case can have one or more optional services during the report year.



County Data

Calendar Year 1995

TABLE 23
Emergency Response Cases
Calendar Year 1995

	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES			TOTAL DISPOSITIONS			NEXT YEAR
	Cases Brought Forward from Last Year	Cases Opened During Year	Total Number of Active Cases During Year	Total Number of Cases Closed During Year	Cases Closed: Transferred to Non-Emergency Response	Cases Closed: No Further Activity	Cases Carried Forward to Next Year
Statewide	1,488	9,560	11,048	9,904	3,314	6,590	1,144
Alameda	10	704	714	684	502	182	30
Alpine	0	7	7	7	4	3	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	3	20	23	23	0	23	0
Calaveras	0	32	32	32	22	10	0
Colusa	0	8	8	8	0	8	0
Contra Costa	0	24	24	24	19	5	0
Del Norte	2	32	34	34	14	20	0
El Dorado	0	60	60	60	0	60	0
Fresno	24	126	150	144	5	139	6
Glenn	0	2	2	2	0	2	0
Humboldt	8	1	9	9	9	0	0
Imperial	0	95	95	95	2	93	0
Inyo	0	12	12	12	0	12	0
Kern	0	87	87	86	22	64	1
Kings	0	4	4	4	0	4	0
Lake	0	89	89	89	0	89	0
Lassen	0	6	6	6	0	6	0
Los Angeles	83	1,625	1,708	1,658	1,135	523	50
Madera	2	23	25	21	0	21	4
Marin	9	50	59	49	36	13	10
Mariposa	0	33	33	33	5	28	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	132	1,296	1,428	1,301	61	1,240	127
Modoc	2	11	13	12	1	11	1
Mono	2	3	5	5	3	2	0
Monterey	0	186	186	186	160	26	0
Napa	6	7	13	12	3	9	1
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	13	300	313	309	43	266	4
Placer	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Plumas	0	6	6	6	4	2	0
Riverside	28	710	738	631	44	587	107
Sacramento	55	220	275	258	5	253	17
San Benito	0	2	2	2	2	0	0
San Bernardino	274	684	958	640	518	122	318
San Diego	509	771	1,280	1,252	0	1,252	28
San Francisco	289	533	822	463	0	463	359
San Joaquin	0	23	23	23	0	23	0
San Luis Obispo	0	21	21	21	0	21	0
San Mateo	4	174	178	173	132	41	5
Santa Barbara	0	69	69	69	32	37	0
Santa Clara	8	30	38	34	13	21	4
Santa Cruz	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
Shasta	0	54	54	54	30	24	0
Sierra	0	14	14	14	5	9	0
Siskiyou	0	58	58	58	0	58	0
Solano	8	103	111	99	50	49	12
Sonoma	0	176	176	176	0	176	0
Stanislaus	0	219	219	211	31	180	8
Sutter	0	5	5	5	1	4	0
Tehama	0	2	2	2	0	2	0
Trinity	0	96	96	96	30	66	0
Tulare	0	10	10	10	7	3	0
Tuolumne	0	37	37	37	36	1	0
Ventura	13	408	421	377	185	192	44
Yolo	3	225	228	221	135	86	7
Yuba	0	66	66	66	7	59	0

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 24
Non-Emergency Response Cases
Calendar Year 1995

	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES					TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	NEXT YEAR
	Cases Opened from Intake	Cases Opened from Emergency Response	Total Cases Opened during Year	Cases Brought Forward from Last Year	Total Number of Active Cases During Year	Total Cases Closed During Year	Cases Carried Forward to Next Year
Statewide	39,374	3,314	42,688	6,153	48,841	42,475	6,366
Alameda	376	502	878	155	1,033	937	96
Alpine	1	4	5	7	12	9	3
Amador	24	0	24	0	24	23	1
Butte	340	0	340	9	349	345	4
Calaveras	51	22	73	23	96	73	23
Colusa	0	0	0	2	2	2	0
Contra Costa	413	19	432	235	667	366	301
Del Norte	35	14	49	16	65	62	3
El Dorado	186	0	186	0	186	186	0
Fresno	1,647	5	1,652	116	1,768	1,651	117
Glenn	33	0	33	0	33	33	0
Humboldt	183	9	192	18	210	182	28
Imperial	0	2	2	0	2	2	0
Inyo	15	0	15	0	15	15	0
Kern	878	22	900	117	1,017	907	110
Kings	95	0	95	15	110	91	19
Lake	86	0	86	0	86	86	0
Lassen	24	0	24	0	24	24	0
Los Angeles	10,843	1,135	11,978	983	12,961	11,823	1,138
Madera	200	0	200	18	218	194	24
Marin	101	36	137	34	171	143	28
Mariposa	23	5	28	0	28	28	0
Mendocino	92	0	92	48	140	77	63
Merced	1,055	61	1,116	20	1,136	1,121	15
Modoc	37	1	38	8	46	34	12
Mono	0	3	3	1	4	4	0
Monterey	110	160	270	134	404	302	102
Napa	150	3	153	95	248	135	113
Nevada	384	0	384	70	454	397	57
Orange	1,304	43	1,347	60	1,407	1,357	50
Placer	223	0	223	20	243	216	27
Plumas	59	4	63	0	63	63	0
Riverside	1,867	44	1,911	321	2,232	1,968	264
Sacramento	9,236	5	9,241	1,074	10,315	9,132	1,183
San Benito	36	2	38	2	40	40	0
San Bernardino	1,067	518	1,585	342	1,927	1,436	491
San Diego	1,802	0	1,802	427	2,229	1,913	316
San Francisco	112	0	112	161	273	224	49
San Joaquin	464	0	464	35	499	459	40
San Luis Obispo	539	0	539	54	593	544	49
San Mateo	832	132	964	404	1,368	925	443
Santa Barbara	743	32	775	66	841	780	61
Santa Clara	1,216	13	1,229	329	1,558	1,267	291
Santa Cruz	278	1	279	145	424	374	50
Shasta	406	30	436	10	446	440	6
Sierra	9	5	14	1	15	15	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	309	50	359	37	396	252	144
Sonoma	205	0	205	73	278	177	101
Stanislaus	131	31	162	13	175	138	37
Sutter	128	1	129	6	135	131	4
Tehama	141	0	141	12	153	138	15
Trinity	8	30	38	108	146	40	106
Tulare	374	7	381	64	445	315	130
Tuolumne	76	36	112	18	130	106	24
Ventura	250	185	435	96	531	427	104
Yolo	130	135	265	151	416	295	121
Yuba	47	7	54	0	54	51	3

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

TABLE 25
Case Activities and Optional Services
Calendar Year 1995

	CASE ACTIVITIES		OPTIONAL SERVICES
	Total Number of Reassessments	Total Number of Subsequent Emergency Responses	Total Number of Optional Services
Statewide	14,905	10,324	3,100
Alameda	693	704	677
Alpine	1	11	3
Amador	0	0	0
Butte	648	18	0
Calaveras	1	18	0
Colusa	0	8	0
Contra Costa	19	10	0
Del Norte	0	3	0
El Dorado	26	0	9
Fresno	20	123	0
Glenn	1	1	0
Humboldt	77	1	0
Imperial	0	86	0
Inyo	0	0	0
Kern	71	87	26
Kings	13	3	2
Lake	47	63	0
Lassen	12	9	0
Los Angeles	936	1,733	0
Madera	0	0	0
Marin	220	88	0
Mariposa	14	35	0
Mendocino	0	0	0
Merced	136	671	393
Modoc	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0
Monterey	476	502	0
Napa	133	3	7
Nevada	367	0	0
Orange	646	308	0
Placer	764	0	0
Plumas	82	11	26
Riverside	164	812	0
Sacramento	1,802	225	0
San Benito	0	1	1
San Bernardino	1,506	517	0
San Diego	1,207	771	0
San Francisco	1,384	834	0
San Joaquin	19	23	0
San Luis Obispo	397	130	0
San Mateo	209	251	0
Santa Barbara	8	49	20
Santa Clara	33	734	0
Santa Cruz	481	7	0
Shasta	0	0	1,808
Sierra	3	14	0
Siskiyou	0	58	0
Solano	290	112	22
Sonoma	0	0	0
Stanislaus	38	213	0
Sutter	0	5	0
Tehama	0	0	0
Trinity	85	0	0
Tulare	29	9	0
Tuolumne	85	14	0
Ventura	836	771	0
Yolo	916	211	0
Yuba	10	67	106

Percentages are rounded independently and may not add to 100%.

Appendix

ELDER ABUSE/DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT: 1995

		Elders		Dependent Adults	
REPORTS OF ABUSE		(65+)		(18-64)	
PART A. NUMBER OF UNDUPLICATED REPORTS EVALUATED/INVESTIGATED					
1. Abuse perpetrated by others	1	15,411	2	6,460	
2. Self-inflicted abuse	3	11,760	4	7,954	
3. Total unduplicated reports (Sum of Items 1 and 2)	5	27,171	6	14,414	
PART B. ACTIONS TAKEN ON UNDUPLICATED REPORTS					
4. Evaluated/not investigated	7	4,571	8	2,127	
5. Confirmed	9	12,776	10	8,552	
6. Not confirmed	11	9,824	12	3,735	
7. Investigated (Sum of Items 5 and 6)	13	22,600	14	12,287	
8. Total actions (Sum of Items 4 and 7)	15	27,171	16	14,414	
PART C. UNDUPLICATED NUMBER OF PERSONS WITH CONFIRMED ABUSE DURING MONTH					
9. Abuse perpetrated by others	17	6,398	18	2,685	
10. Self-inflicted abuse	19	6,568	20	5,937	
11. Total number of unduplicated persons (Sum of Items 9 and 10)	21	12,966	22	8,622	
PART D. TYPES OF CONFIRMED ABUSE PERPETRATED BY OTHERS					
12. Physical	23	1,992	24	1,144	
13. Sexual	25	54	26	249	
14. Neglect	27	2,342	28	841	
15. Abandonment	29	195	30	77	
16. Mental Suffering	31	1,771	32	689	
17. Fiduciary	33	2,197	34	581	
18. Total types of confirmed abuse perpetrated by others (Sum of Items 12 through 17) ..	35	8,551	36	3,581	
PART E. TYPES OF CONFIRMED SELF-INFLICTED ABUSE					
19. Physical	37	5,896	38	5,394	
20. Fiduciary	39	756	40	645	
21. Suicidal	41	115	42	105	
22. Total types of confirmed self-inflicted abuse (Sum of Items 19 through 21)	43	6,767	44	6,144	

COMMENTS:

PREPARED BY:

Information Services Bureau

PHONE:

(916) 653-4180

DATE:

06/19/96

**COUNTY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT PROGRAMS
ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT: 1995**

744 - P Street, M.S. 19-84

Sacramento, CA 95814

PART A. INFORMATION AND REFERRAL		Responses
1. Number of responses to requests for Information and Referral.....	1.	625,986
PART B. OUT-OF-HOME CARE ADULTS (OHC-A)		Cases
2. Cases brought forward from last year (same as Item 6 on December 1994 report)	2.	332
3. Cases opened during the year	3.	1,133
4. Total number of active cases during year (Sum of Items 2 and 3)	4.	1,465
5. Cases closed during the year	5.	1,193
6. Cases carried forward to next year (Item 4 minus Item 5)	6.	272
PART C. ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES (APS)		Contacts
7. Number of APS reports/referrals/requests received during the year	7.	57,628
Emergency Response (ER) Cases		Cases
8. Cases brought forward from last year (Same as Item 12 on December 1994 report)	8.	1,488
9. Cases opened during the year	9.	9,560
10. Total number of active cases during the year (Sum of Items 8 and 9)	10.	11,048
11. Cases closed during the year (Sum of items 11a and 11b)	11.	9,904
a. Closed, no further activity	11a.	6,590
b. Transferred to non-ER (same as Item 14b below)	11b.	3,314
12. Cases carried forward to next year (Item 10 minus Item 11)	12.	1,144
Non-Emergency Response (Non-ER) Cases		
13. Cases brought forward from last year (Same as Item 17 on December 1994 report) ...	13.	6,153
14. Cases opened during the year (Sum of Items 14a and 14b)	14.	42,688
a. From Intake	14a.	39,374
b. From Emergency Response (Same as Item 11b above)	14b.	3,314
15. Total number of active cases during the year (Sum of Items 13 and 14)	15.	48,841
16. Cases closed during the year	16.	42,475
17. Cases carried forward to next year (Item 15 minus Item 16)	17.	6,366
Case Activities		Activities
18. Total number of reassessments	18.	14,905
19. Total number of emergency responses	19.	10,324
PART D. OPTIONAL SERVICES		Cases
20. Number of cases receiving optional services during the year	20.	3,100
PERSON TO CONTACT:		TELEPHONE:
Information Services Bureau		(916) 653-4180
		DATE:
		May 02, 1996

Formulas for Annual Summaries

(Item numbers come from the SOC 242)

I. Reports to County Adult Protective Services:

Sum of the number of APS referrals received during the month (Item 7) for the twelve calendar months.

II. Active Adult Protective Services Cases for the Year:

Add the number of ER cases (Item 8) and Non-ER cases (Item 13), brought forward from the previous month for only the first month of the calendar year. Then add to this sum the number of ER cases opened during the month (Item 9) and Non-ER cases (Item 14a) opened from intake for the twelve calendar months.

III. Total Emergency Responses and Non-Emergency Responses:

Sum of ER cases opened during the month (Item 9) for the twelve calendar months and the sum of Non-ER cases opened from intake (Item 14a) for the twelve calendar months.

IV. Total Case Actions:

Add the number of ER cases opened during the month (Item 9), Non-ER cases opened from intake (Item 14a), and Reassessments (Item 18) for the twelve calendar months.

V. Total Emergency Response Activity:

Add the number of ER cases opened during the month (Item 9), and subsequent number of ER cases (item 19) for the twelve calendar months.